



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/437,065	11/09/1999	BALAS N. KAUSIK	028410-0009	7488

7590

10/29/2002

SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER AND FLOM LLP
525 UNIVERSITY AVENUE
PALO ALTO, CA 94301

EXAMINER

ELISCA, PIERRE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/437,065

Applicant(s)
Balas N. Kausik

Examiner
Pierre E. Elisca

Art Unit
3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/13/2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 8/13/2002.
2. Claims 1-58 are remained and claims 59-65 are added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. **The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:**

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. **Claims 1-~~65~~ are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Slater (U.S. Pat. No. 6,098,053).**

As per claims 1, 2, 10-15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 37, 38, 41, 42, 45, 46, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58 and 59-65 discloses a system/method for performing an on-line ATM/POS transaction utilizing checking or savings account funds over a public access network. An electronic financial transaction instruction comprising card information and security information that are encrypted for secure transmission over the public access network. The card information identifies a checking or savings account number of a purchaser (which is seen to read as Applicant's claimed

Art Unit: 3621

invention wherein it is stated that a method for authorizing an electronic purchase in a networked computer environment), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving from a merchant, a transaction authorization request including a digital certificate passed through said merchant from a user involved in said transaction (see., abstract);

said certificate including a financial account datum associated with said user, at least a portion of which is confidential from said merchant (see., col 4, lines 32-65);

said digital certificate conveying a binding between at least a portion of said financial account datum and a public key of said user (see., col 4, lines 32-65, it is inherent to realize that encryption also includes public and private keys);

verifying said binding using a cryptographic verification key associated with a trusted party performing said binding (see., abstract, col 4, lines 32-65, it is inherent to realize that encryption (or cryptographic) also includes public and private keys, and col 5, lines 40-67); and

using said financial account datum to authorize a transaction order digitally signed by said user with a private key corresponding to said public key (see., abstract, col 4, lines 32-65, col 5, lines 40-67, it is inherent to realize that encryption also includes public and private keys or symmetric key or asymmetric key).

As per claims 3-6, 8, 9, 16-19, 21, 22, 32, 36, 40, 44, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, Slater discloses the claimed method, where said digital certificate constitutes said binding (see., col 4, lines 32-65).

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 7, 20, 31, 35, 39, 43, 51, Slater discloses the claimed method, where said financial account datum includes a PIN (see., col 2, lines 1-18).

REMARKS

5. In response to Applicant's representative arguments, Applicant's representative argues that the prior art of record (Slater)does not disclose the term:

a. "digital certificate". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's representative because this limitation is disclosed by Slater in col 8, lines 29-51, specifically wherein it is stated that secure mechanism may comprise one or more a combination of the following operations on PURCHASER payment instructions: symmetric encryption, asymmetric encryption, a purchaser's verifiable digital signature and a verifiable DIGITAL CERTIFICATION. Applicant should duly note that this digital certification is for the user not for the merchant.

b. "Conveying a user's digital certificate to a transaction processor via a merchant". As specify by the Examiner in the Office action mailed on 5/17/2002, this limitation is disclosed by Slater in col 4, lines 32-65, specifically wherein it is stated that a digital certificate of the merchant may be appended to the financial transaction instructions, where the merchant's digital certificate provides additional verification of the merchant's identity and the integrity of the financial transaction instructions, please note that this digital certificate is conveyed from the user or purchaser to the merchant.

c. "Cryptographically binding the user's public key to a portion of the user's account information". As specified by the Examiner in the Office action mailed on 5/17/2002, this limitation is disclosed by

Art Unit: 3621

Slater in col 4, lines 32-65, it is inherent to know that encryption or cryptographic also includes public and private keys, and col 5, lines 40-67.

CONCLUSION

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9769.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

OR

(703) 305-9724, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth floor (receptionist).

The Official Fax Number For TC-3621 is:

Application/Control Number: 09/437,065

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

(703) 305-7687



Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

October 23, 2002